

2004 Transportation Summit - Land Use Summary

Linkages and/or connections with other teams:

1. Communication, Consciousness raising, Public Education
 - a. Communication and public involvement is critical
 - b. Promote real communication between public and Agencies.
 - c. Education and outreach, more information dissemination
 - d. Education of public at all levels and legislators.
 - i. Cost of Services/ Return on investment
 - ii. Cost of development at linkages to transportation
 - iii. benefits of Coordinated Land Use and Transportation.
 - e. Educational Component.
 - f. Link with educational processes to educate the public to get agreement with zoning plans. Then follow the plan.
 - g. Use their action items to implement our educational action items.
 - h. Establish public involvement for review of new development.
 - i. Include programs for educating the public.
 - ii. Develop proactive citizen education programs
2. Commerce & Trade
 - a. competing land uses – an issue
3. Safety: Improve safety through Smart Grow, the vibrant urbanity.
4. Coordination, Cooperation and Connectivity:
 - a. As long as we have Home Rule in Michigan, then CCC should find ways to coordinate L.U. across jurisdictional boundaries.
5. Research:
 - a. Do research on costs and benefits of higher density Land Use based on transit versus sprawl based on roads.
 - b. Research team (i.e.: implementations via data collections)
6. Mobility options
 - a. You cannot have effective transit or non motorized transportation with urban sprawl.
 - b. Chicken-n-egg, what drives what, land use drives public transit need or can public transit help drive land use.
 - c. Mobility issues created by poor development planning.
7. Funding
 - a. Funding for both research and implementation.
 - b. Impact fees – our land use development – Funding.
 - c. Request F.C. to explore methods of funding distribution formulas to help enact Land Use actions.
 - d. Finance – funding pressure for development.
 - e. Lack of funding is prevalent throughout.
 - f. Funding Private co. fund new interchanges with highways.
8. Time and money are needed to educate.
 - a. Consistent messages.
 - b. Inventory of existing information.
9. Legislation change
10. Need for legislation with the other action teams.
11. Legislation to improve planning process.
12. Connects to all 9 areas.
13. Linkage with asset mgt. council/ action team
14. Cost of transportation, investment, put on radar screen for general population.
15. How do we get attention of motorists that maintenance comes first.
16. Cost of development – planning cost.
17. An informed public would demand a gas tax. We should market this issue.
18. Links with MDOT's context sensitive solutions group. (css policies) for all transportation projects.

2004 Transportation Summit - Land Use Summary

Suggestions for resources to complete the implementation tasks (people, groups, money)

1. Universities
2. Local planning commissions.
3. Revenue sharing between communities.
4. Shared tax breaks.
5. Consult Communities that have cooperated.
6. Consolidation of road agencies
7. Inclusion of major foundations : Partner with state agencies to provide funding for planning (MOTT and Kellogg)
8. Provide incentives and disincentives to maintain focus towards the goal of these objectives.
9. Identify the need for Regional oversight or control and implement it.
10. Find the resource to do 2 & 3 & 4.
11. How to use these and maintain focus on the goals.
12. Gain example knowledge from other areas with the U.S., etc to learn how to do the above.
13. AIA - basis of architecture - educational opportunity
14. Look at positive examples (e.g. Indianapolis)
15. Michigan society of planners and their planning curriculum.
16. Examples of municipalities with red tape for (re)development in urban areas.
17. Lana Pollack – MEC- real cost of development
18. Process people – how to get consensus on methodology to develop mechanism for assessment of cost
19. Consider Impact Fees.
20. Disseminate existing information and condense
21. Need a champion for the cause
22. Informational gathering between other groups,
23. Agricultural groups
24. Commerce developers i.e. traffic impact study.
25. Urban Land Use Council.
26. Michigan Land Use Leadership Council.
27. Michigan Environmental Council.
28. Good Lobbyists.
29. Work with U of M, MSU, and MTRB.
30. Michigan land conservancy – Oakland and the local.
31. Bring in developers that use and open to land use techniques
32. National Developer Association
33. U of M's Real Estate Forum
34. Historic Preservationists.
35. County Road Commissions and townships road org.
36. MML
37. Woodland & wetland boards
38. Have you interviewed local zoning boards and local planning issues.
39. Governors' Land Use council report – who/how is it being implemented and how can your team interact with that process?
40. Overcoming legislative barriers needs to be top priority – home rule issue.
41. Michigan Land Use Institute
42. Michigan Society of planning: all professional and community planners.
43. MPO's have staff and funding.
44. Agency and consultant groups – on volunteer basis to develop materials/ resources for communications.
45. SEMCOG
46. University Transportation Center